# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

## BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

| BLACK BORDERS
| IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
| FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
| BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
| SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
| COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
| GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
| LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
| REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
| OTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/608,434	06/30/2000	Il Gun Kwon	0465-0715P	9740
2292	7590 09/10/2004		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			KE, PENG	
PO BOX 74' FALLS CHU	/ JRCH, VA 22040-074′	7	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2174	
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\Im$ /			
	09/608,434	KWON ET AL.	Or			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peng Ke	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vitn the correspondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a release if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of th od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  iirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this cor  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	May 2004.					
· <u> </u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
,	,—					
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6,8-11,14-17,19-21 and 53-73</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1, 6, 8-11, 14-17, 19-21, and 53-73</u>	s is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement					
on Chairings, are subject to restriction and						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* , ,		D 1 121/4\			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
•						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		en received in this National S	Stage			
application from the International Bure		at ragained				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the centified copies no	ot received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	(08) 5) Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/608,434

Art Unit: 2174

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment, filed on 5/27/04. This action is final.

2. Claims 1, 6, 8-11, 14-17, 19-21, and 53-73 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 6, 20, 57, 59, and 70 are independent claims. In the Amendment, filed on 5/27/04, claims 1, 6, 8-11, 14-16, and 19-21 are amended, and claims 53-73 are added.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 73 recites the limitation "claim 73". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Examiner interprets claim 73 to be claim 70.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 6, 8-11, 14-17, 19-21, and 53-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fado et al. (US 5,995,933) in view Berstis et al. (US 5,903,266).

Art Unit: 2174

As per independent claim 1, Fado et al. teaches a method of assisting a user to make a connection between a main device and at least one peripheral device, comprising:

displaying a menu on a screen page, the menu including a plurality of menu items, each menu item identifying a different combination of devices to be connected (Fig. 6, item 126, 128, 130, 132); and

displaying, on the same screen page, a guide illustration in response to a user's selection of one of the menu item, the illustration showing how to connect the main device and the at least one of the at least two peripheral devices indicated by the user-selected menu item (Fig 21, items 262 and 264).

However, Fado et al. fails to teach the main device being a digital TV and that it is connected to at least two peripheral devices, wherein each of the two devices generates an audio signal and a video signal.

Berstis et al. teaches the main device being a digital TV (col. 3, lines 35-42) and that it is connected to at least two peripheral devices, wherein each of the two devices generates an audio signal and a video signal (col. 6, lines 32-68).

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Berstis' teaching with method of Fado et al. in order to provide the user with an interactive guide for connecting a TV, a stereo, and computer monitor.

As per claim 6, it is rejected with the same rationale as claim 1. (see rejection above)

As per claim 8, Fado and Berstis teach the method of claim 6. Fado further teaches the method wherein the graphical illustration show the electrical connections in a highlighted form

Art Unit: 2174

(fig 13, col. 10, lines 40-57; It is inherent when the reference are combined the main device would be the digital TB along with at least two peripheral devices)

As per claim 9, Fado and Berstis teach the method of claim 8. Fado further teaches the method wherein the highlighted form is a color which differs from a remainder of the graphical illustration (fig 13, col. 10, lines 40-57).

As per claim 10, Fado and Berstis teach the method of claim 6. Fado further teaches the method wherein the displaying step, the graphical illustration depicts connection terminals of each of the digital TV and the at least two peripheral devices, and depicts the electrical connections between the connection terminals of the at least two devices depending on the user input (fig 13, col. 10, lines 40-57).

As per claim 11, Fado and Berstis teach the method of claim 6. Berstis further teaches the method wherein the displaying step displays the graphical illustration on the display screen of the digital TV (col. 6, lines 32-68).

As per claim 14, Fado and Berstis teach the method of claim 6. Fado further teacher the method comprising:

displaying a menu having a plurality of menu items, each menu item identifying a different combination of devices to be connected associated (Fig. 6, item 126, 128, 130, 132); and

receiving a the user input being a selection of one of the menu items, wherein the step of displaying the graphical illustration displays the electrical connections of the devices identified by the user-selected menu item (fig 13, col. 10, lines 40-57).

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 15, Fado and Berstis teach the method of claim 14. Fado further teaches the method wherein each menu item is displayed as an icon (Fig. 5, item 126, 128, 130, 132).

As per claim 16, Fado and Berstis teach the method of claim 14. Fado further teaches the method wherein the menu and the graphical illustration are displayed on the same screen page of the display screen (Fig. 5, item 126, 128, 130, 132).

As per claim 17, Fado and Berstis teach the method of claim 14. Berstis further teaches the method wherein the receiving step includes receiving signals from an input device providing instructions on moving a cursor displayed on the display screen onto one of the menu items (col. 5, lines 46-55).

As per claim 19, Fado and Berstis teach the method of claim 6. Berstis further teaches wherein each of the at least two peripheral devices generates a video signal and an audio signal (col. 3, lines 27-41).

As per claim 20, it is rejected with same rationale as claim 1. (see rejection above)

As per claim 21, Fado and Berstis teach the method of claim 20, Berstis further teaches wherein the at least two peripheral devices include at least two of the following: a broadcast antenna, a cable box, a disk drive, speakers, a mouse, a printer, a DVD player, and a satellite receiver. (col. 6, lines 32-68),

As per claim 53, Fado and Berstis teach the method of claim 21. Berstis further teaches wherein the main device is a TV, a set top box, or a computer (col. 3, lines 35-42).

As per claim 54, which is dependent on claim 20, it is of the same scope as claim 10. (see rejection above)

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 55, Fado and Berstis teach the method of claim 1. Berstis further teaches the method wherein in the step of displaying, the guide illustration depicts wire connections between terminals of the digital TV and the at least one of the at least two peripheral devices (col. 6, lines 32-68).

As per claim 56, which is dependent on clam 6, it is of the same scope as claim 10. (see rejection above)

As per claim 57, it is rejected with the same rationale as claim 1. (see rejection above)

As per claim 58, which is dependent on claim 57, it is of the same scope as claim 10. (see rejection above)

As per claim 59, it is rejected with the same rationale as claim 1. (see rejection above)

As per claim 60, which is dependent on claim 59, it is of the same scope as claim 8. (see rejection above).

As per claim 61, which is dependent on claim 60, it is of the same scope as claim 9. (see rejection above)

As per claim 62, which is dependent on claim 59, it is of the same scope as claim 10. (see rejection above)

As per claim 63, which is dependent on claim 59, it is of the same scope as claim 11. (see rejection above)

As per claim 64, which is dependent on claim 59, it is of the same scope as claim 14. (see rejection above)

As per claim 65, which is dependent on claim 64, it is of the same scope as claim 15. (see rejection above)

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 66, which is dependent on claim 64, it is of the same scope as claim 16. (see rejection above)

As per claim 67, which is dependent on claim 64, it is of the same scope as claim 17. (see rejection above)

As per claim 68, which is dependent on claim 59, it is of the same scope as claim 19. (see rejection above).

As per claim 69, which is dependent on claim 59, it is of the same scope as claim 10. (see rejection above).

As per claim 70, it is rejected with the same rationale as claim 1 (see rejection above)

As per claim 71, which is dependent on claim 70, it is of the same scope as claim 21. (see rejection above).

As per claim 72, which is dependent on claim 71, it is of the same scope as claim 53 (see rejection above).

As per claim 73, which is dependent on claim 70, it is of the same scope as claim 10 (see rejection above)

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6, 8-11, 14-17, 19-21, and 53-7 have been considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peng Ke whose telephone number is (703) 305-7615. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and Alternate Fridays 8:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 2174

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L Kincaid can be reached on (703) 308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peng Ke

KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100